STATE OF INDIANA	IN THE HAMILTON SUPERIOR COURT		
COUNTY OF HAMILTON	SS: CAUSE NO.: 29D03 0710	CC 1206	
STATE OF INDIANA,)	200	
Plaintiff,)	FILE 23 2007 007 23 01536, 11241179	
V.)	FILED OUT 23 A	
ROD HARDESTY and NATHAN MERTZ, individual and doing business as ADVANCED EXTERIORS,	illy)	FILED CT 23 AM II: 29 COMMENT OF THE STATE O	
Defendants.)		

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, RESTITUTION, COSTS, AND CIVIL PENALTIES

The Plaintiff, State of Indiana, by Attorney General Steve Carter and Deputy Attorney General January Portteus, petitions the Court pursuant to the Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Indiana Code § 24-5-0.5-1, et seq., and the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-11-1, et seq., for injunctive relief, consumer restitution, investigative costs, civil penalties, and other relief.

PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff, State of Indiana, is authorized to bring this action and to seek injunctive and other statutory relief pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c) and Ind. Code § 24-5-11-14.
- 2. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendant, Rod Hardesty, individually and doing business as Advanced Exteriors, was an individual engaged in business as a home improvement contractor with a principal place of business in Hamilton County at 12544 Pointer Place, Fishers, Indiana 46038.

3. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendant, Nathan Mertz, individually and doing business as Advanced Exteriors, was an individual engaged in business as a home improvement contractor with a principal place of business in Hamilton County at 12544 Pointer Place, Fishers, Indiana 46038.

FACTS

- 4. Since at least August 15, 2006 the Defendants have entered into home improvement contracts with Indiana consumers.
- 5. On or about August 15, 2006 the Defendants entered into a contract with Rev. James R. Snyder ("Snyder") of Fishers, Indiana, wherein the Defendants represented they would repair Snyder's roof and Snyder paid Six Thousand Fifty Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$6,050.50) as a down payment. Attached and incorporated by reference as Exhibit "A" is a true and accurate copy of the contract Snyder received.
- 6. The Defendants failed to include the following information in the contract with Snyder:
 - a. the approximate starting and completion dates of the proposed home improvements;
 - b. a statement of contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date;
 - c. the home improvement contract price; and
 - a legible printed or typed version of each party's name directly
 after or below the signature on the contract.
- 7. Due to these deficiencies the Defendants failed to give the consumer a fully executed copy of the contract.

- 8. The Defendants failed to obtain the necessary permit, as required by the Town of Fishers Code of Ordinances § 155.10, prior to commencing any work under their agreement with Snyder.
- 9. Pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10), the Defendants are presumed to have represented at the time of contract formation that they would complete the job, pursuant to the terms of the contract, within a reasonable period of time.
- 10. The Defendants have neither completed the work, nor issued a refund to Snyder.

COUNT I – VIOLATIONS OF THE HOME IMPROVEMENT CONTRACTS ACT

- 11. The service described in paragraph 5 is a "home improvement" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-11-3.
- 12. The transaction referred to in paragraph 5 is a "home improvement contract" as defined by Ind. Code § 24-5-11-4.
 - 13. The Defendants are "suppliers" as defined by Ind. Code 24-5-11-6.
- 14. In failing to provide the consumer with a completed home improvement contract containing the information referred to in paragraph 6, the Defendants violated the Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-11-10.

COUNT II - VIOLATIONS OF THE DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT

15. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 above.

427738-1

- 16. The Defendants' violations of the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act, referred to in paragraph 6 and 7, constitute deceptive acts by the Defendants, in accordance with Ind. Code § 24-5-11-14.
- 17. By failing to obtain a proper permit prior to engaging in a consumer transaction, as referenced in paragraph 8, the Defendants violated the Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-10(a)(1).
- 18. The Defendants' representations to Snyder that the Defendants would be able to deliver or complete the subject of the consumer transaction within a reasonable period of time, when the Defendants knew or reasonably should have known they could not, as referenced in paragraph 9, constitute violations of the Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-3(a)(10).

COUNT III - KNOWING AND INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS OF THE DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT

- 19. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 18 above.
- 20. The deceptive acts set forth in paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 were committed by the Defendants with the knowledge and intent to deceive.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, State of Indiana, requests the Court enter judgment against the Defendants, Rod Hardesty and Nathan Mertz, individually and doing business as Advanced Exteriors, enjoining the Defendants from the following:

a. in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to provide the consumer a written, completed home improvement contract, which includes at a minimum the following:

427738-1 4

- i) The name of the consumer and the address of the residential property that is the subject of the home improvement;
- ii) The name and address of the Defendant and each of the telephone numbers and names of any agent to whom consumer problems and inquiries can be directed;
- iii) The date the home improvement contract was submitted to the consumer and any time limitation on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;
- iv) A reasonably detailed description of the proposed home improvements;
- v) If the description required by Ind. Code § 24-5-11-10(a)(4) does not include the specifications for the home improvement, a statement that the specifications will be provided to the consumer before commencing any work and that the home improvement contract is subject to the consumer's separate written and dated approval of the specifications;
- vi) The approximate starting and completion date of the home improvements;
- vii) A statement of any contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date;
- viii) The home improvement contact price; and
- ix) Signature lines for the Defendant or the Defendant's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement

contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature;

- b. in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to provide a completed home improvement contract to the consumer;
- soliciting or engaging in home improvement transactions without a license
 or permit as required by law;
- d. representing, expressly or by implication, that Defendants are able to start or complete a home improvement within a stated period of time, or when no time period is stated, within a reasonable time, when Defendants know or should reasonably know they cannot.

AND WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, State of Indiana, further requests the Court enter a judgment against Defendant for the following relief:

- a. cancellation of the Defendants' unlawful contracts with all consumers, including but not limited to Rev. James R. Snyder, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(d);
- b. consumer restitution, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c)(2), for reimbursement of all unlawfully obtained funds remitted by consumers to the Defendants, including but not limited to Rev. James R. Snyder, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- c. costs, pursuant to Ind. Code § 24-5-0.5-4(c)(3), awarding the Office of the Attorney General its reasonable expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this action;

- d. on Count III of the Plaintiff's Complaint, civil penalties, pursuant to Ind.

 Code § 24-5-0.5-4(g), for the Defendants' knowing violations of the

 Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars

 (\$5,000.00) per violation, payable to the State of Indiana;
- e. on Count III of the Plaintiff's Complaint, civil penalties, pursuant to Ind.

 Code § 24-5-0.5-8, for the Defendants' intentional violations of the

 Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars

 (\$500.00) per violation, payable to the State of Indiana; and
- f. all other just and proper relief.

Respectfully Submitted,

STEVE CARTER Indiana Attorney General Atty. No. 4150-64

Ianuary Portteus

Deputy Attorney General Atty. No. 25741-49

Office of Attorney General Indiana Government Center South 302 W. Washington Street, 5th floor Indianapolis, IN 46204 Telephone: (317) 232-0171

Advanced Exteriors

12544 Pointer Pl Fishers, IN 46038 (317)701-5977

, e	*	(317)/01-39//		
Homeowner: Per James K.	i.del _Home#	Cell#	Date: <i>つ</i> きべく- 0 6	
<u> </u>		and the same		
Street:		City: folkers	Date: <u> </u>	
. Grand	are larger.			
Furnish and Install a 25 4 [(our	/or Like		
Style	year year	manufacturer		
STRIP OFF AND HAUL AWAY_	1	LAVERSOF		
PREPARE THE EXISTING DECK	FOR ROOF INST	CALLATION		
RE-DECK WITH ½ INCH PLYWO			•	
X APPLY A 💆 15# FELT BASE 🗆 30	# FELT BASE			
REPLACE EXISTING VENTS				
REPLACE ALL PLUMBING VENT		~		
CLOSED VALLEYS WITH 90# RO		j		
		OLL YARD, DRIVEWAY WITH MAG	NETIC NATI SWEEPER	
× 2 YEAR LABOR WARRANTY	TROIM 121. R			
		Deposit of \$60. CK#	50.50 Kadefund	
ADDITIONAL WORK DESCIP	TION	CK#	5178	
# 250 Coupo	M		3720	
	3	Faith Presbyteria		
# 250 Honar	ich to	_ raith Mesbyteria	n con Completion	
THE ONLY COST TO THE HOMEOWNER IS THEIR DEDUCTIBLE. THE BALANCE IS PAID BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY PER FINAL LOSS INVOICE.				
	VS.CO	ADJUSTER_		
ADVANCED EXTERIORS will invest its time and expertise in assisting the homeowner with the insurance claim. This proposal is Contingent upon the insurance company paying for the roof and will be void if the claim is disallowed by the insurance company. Homeowner agrees for ADVANCED EXTERIORS to negotiate with the insurance company on their behalf toward a fair and equitable settlement of this claim. The homeowner's liability will not exceed the deductible plus any possible upgrades. Homeowner agrees ADVANCED EXTERIORS is entitled to any and all supplements approved by the insurance company.				
X James T. Druge	and the management	_ X KoN TH		
HOMEOWNER		ADVANCED EXTERIOR	S	
Total insurance price\$	Deposi	r \$ R	alance S	
Plus any unforeseen amounts stated abo				
EXTERIORS. Any checks made out to balance.				
Homeowner		Data		
Signature		70.1		
Accepted by	······································	Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

